

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ (ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).— ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಹಳ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ. ಯಾವಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ 15 ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದಂತಹ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯೂ ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೋ ಆ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಪೀಕರ್ ಅವರ ಅಫೀಸಿಗೆ ಬರೆದು ಕೆಲವು ವಿವರಗಳು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಷ್ಟು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಉಳಿದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೇವಲ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತ್ರ ಉಳಿದಿವೆ. ಅವಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮುರಾರಾಧ್ಯ.— ಇರ್ಷ್ಯಾಪರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಒಂದು ಕಾರಣವಲ್ಲ. ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾಗಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ 15 ದಿವಸಗಳ ಅವಕಾಶ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದೆ. ಅದಲ್ಲದೆ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಕಾಶ ಬೇರೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದೆ. ಆದರೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ದೊರೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದು ಅಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಕಾರಣವೆಂದು ನಮಗನ್ನಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. If the question involves the compilations of statistics which are very voluminous or if the information has got to be collected from various sources ಆಗ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತಡವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೂ 15 ದಿವಸಗಳು ಅಥವಾ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಸಾಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಷ್ಟರೊಳಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ? ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.— Even in the last session, some of the simplest questions, the answers to which could have been got from the Secretariat, were delayed to the last and several questions lapsed without being given any reason, and that too when the answers could be got from the Vidhana Soudha. We do not know for what reasons these questions are delayed. We would like to know if the Government has given any reasons and if so what are the reasons.

Sri B. D. JATTI.— As I have already expressed, whenever it is not possible to collect all details and statistics from rural areas, we take the permission of the Speaker. Otherwise, we reply. There is no reason for us to delay.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).— I have asked questions which can be answered across the table.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.— ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಕವಾಗಿ ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡುಬಂದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಅನೇಕವಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅಂತಹವುಗಳನ್ನು ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡುಬಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ ನವರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ನನಗೆ ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅವು ಸುಲಭವಾದ

ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡಿರತಕ್ಕವುಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾಗಲೀ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ 15 ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಅಷ್ಟರ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಾರದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇನ್ನು 15 ದಿವಸಗಳು ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆಗ ವೇಳೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತದೆ. ಹಾಗೂ ಒಮ್ಮೊಮ್ಮೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೂ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗ ಉಳಿದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಾಣುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಇಂಥಾದ್ದು ಆಗದೆ ಹಾಗೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಬೇರೆ ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ತರಿಸುವುದಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರು ಆ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು “the necessary information is being collected” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಇನ್ನಾರು ತಿಂಗಳುಗಳಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವುದೋ ಒಂದು ದಿನ ಚೀಬರ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೂ the necessary information is being collected ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ತುಂಬಾ ಅಸಮಾಧಾನ ಉಂಟಾಗುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವನಂದೇಹವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. Members will be shut out from putting supplementary questions. ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಆದರೂ, ಅಂತಹ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿದರೆ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಉಪ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಷ್ಟು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೇ, ಆದರೆ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರೂ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳ ಚರ್ಚೆ ವಿಧಾನವಾದರೆ ನಿಮಗೂ ತೊಂದರೆ, ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಅನುಕೂಲ, ನಮಗೂ ಅನುಕೂಲ. ಆದರೂ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಉತ್ತರಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.— ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? Is it right on the part of the Government?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.— ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅವು ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಹೆಚ್ಚು ತಡವಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ದೊರಕಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ತಕ್ಕ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Member's Representation.

†Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapur).— I gave notice of certain things this morning that I would raise one or

(SRI V. SRINIVAS SHETTY)

two short matters. Sir, it is nearly three years since the Public Accounts Committee and the Estimates Committee have been elected. The rules provide for the Public Accounts Committee—sub-rule 251 (2) “the term of office of the members of the Committee shall be two years or until the next committee is appointed, whichever is later.” For Estimates Committee also, the same rule-254 (2) that “the term of office of the members of the committee shall be two years or until the next committee is appointed, whichever is later” holds good. It is nearly three years. We have got one year and odd. We have not heard of any information as to whether there is going to be any further election or whether the same committees will continue, or whether the Chair proposes to hold elections for these committees. Representations have been made and nothing has happened. I should like to know the position whether the Chair proposes to continue these committees or any further elections are contemplated in the matter.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—Is there any discretion vested in the Chair to continue these committees?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This matter was brought to my notice privately by the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition. I will only re-iterate what I told him, that the calendar of election is being drafted and that the election will take place soon.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—For both the committees?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

†Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—What about statements to be laid on the Table of the House? During the last Assembly, when I raised the question of outstanding arrears from Sales-tax assesses, the Deputy Minister for Finance promised that he would place a statement on the Table of the House showing the reasons. Till now, he has not done so. Last time, I had put a question whether the scales of salaries of the officials in Mysore State were

the lowest in India if not in the world and the Hon'ble Deputy Minister for Finance promised to place a statement on the Table of the House. He has been evading or he has made a false or incorrect statement. I want to know if he has placed the above statements and if not why he has not done so.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN (Deputy Minister for Finance).—With regard to the statement pertaining to the rates of salaries prevailing in different States, I have already placed the information before the Speaker. Not only that, I have got the same cyclostyled and placed the same in pigeon holes. Perhaps the Hon'ble Member has not searched in the pigeon hole.....

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I have seen in the pigeon hole. It is not there. And then about the statement pertaining to the arrears of sales-tax?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Sir, I do not remember to have made a statement that I would place that information on the Table of the House. That question was answered. I had answered that there were no arrears. If I have made.....

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Sir, last year, he was pleased to say that the arrears were to the tune of Rs. 1,10,000 and odd accumulated for the last year. He now says that he does not remember. I have given in writing about this.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I know you have given me in writing. I will pass it on to the Finance Department and get the information.

2-30 P.M.

Sri G. E. HOOVER (Chickpet).—I requested the Government to declare Good Friday as a holiday.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chief Minister says that he will make a statement tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ (ಗುಬ್ಬಿ).—ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬಸವ ಜಯಂತಿಗೆ ರಜೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈಗ ಮತ್ತೆ ಗುಡ್-ಫ್ರೈಡೆಗೆ ರಜೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಇದ್ದಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ನೆನ್ನೆ ರಾಮನವಮಿಗೆ ರಜಾ ಕೊಡಲಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the Hon'ble Member has not got correct memory. It was not declared as a full holiday.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—We want to sympathise with them. You wanted to hold the session on Sri Rama Navami in the morning but you changed your mind afterwards.

Mr. SPEAKER.—(Addressing Sri Muckannappa) Please do not make a point where there is none.

Minister's Statement

Budget for the Taluk Boards.

†**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA** (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—Sir, Government issued orders in No. LLH 49 BAC 60, dated 31st March 1960, directing the Special Officers of Taluk Boards to frame the budgets of the Taluk Boards for the first six months of the year 1960-61 had to place them for approval before the District Development Councils and to incur expenditure in accordance with the budget as approved by the District Development Councils. This order was noticed in the House and some Members raised the point whether it was in conformity with the provisions of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Taluk Boards Act, 1959 and was legal.

The Special Officers of the Taluk Boards are appointed under clause (i) (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 241 and have been empowered under the said Act to exercise the powers, discharge the duties and perform the functions of a Taluk Board and its President under the Act until the constitution of the Taluk Board in accordance with the provisions of the Act. The Block Development Officers in Taluks in which there are Community Development Blocks and the Tahsildars in the other Taluks are appointed as Special Officers. Section 173 prohibits, subject to certain exceptions specified in the Section, payment of any sum out of Taluk Board Funds unless the expenditure of the sum is covered by a Budget grant. It is, therefore, imperative to have a budget for incurring expenditure after 1st April 1960. Under Section

174, the Standing Committee of a Taluk Board is to prepare and lay before the Taluk Board complete accounts of the Receipts and Expenditure of the previous year and also a complete account of the actual and expected Receipts and Expenditure for the next financial year, together with a budget estimate of the Income and Expenditure for the year commencing from 1st April. After such accounts and budget are accepted by the Taluk Board, they are sent for approval to the District Development Councils. The District Development Council is to scrutinise the budget and return it with its approval within two months. If it is not so returned, the budget shall be deemed to have been approved. As the District Development Councils have already been constituted by an order issued on 1st April 1959, there will be no difficulty in getting the budget prepared duly approved.

There can be no Standing Committee of a Taluk Board until the Taluk Board is constituted, under the Act. It is, therefore, imperative for the Special Officer to prepare the budget and submit it for approval of the District Development Council. Otherwise, the services and activities of the Taluk Board under the Act cannot be carried on at all.

The order issued on 31st March 1960 cannot, therefore, be said to be not in accordance with the provisions of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapur).—It is not that the budget of the Taluk Board is to be passed by the Taluk Board and subsequently approved by the District Development Council?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—But, now the District Development Council is only to have a seal of approval.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, my friend has not followed me. In the absence of a taluk board, until it is constituted, the Special Officer does all the functions and carries out the